- 11		
1		The Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	PREMERA BLUE CROSS,	NO. CV17-00695-BAT
10	Plaintiff,	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT
11	V.	
12	MARY WINZ, TRACIE LESAN, JOYCE ARLENE NELSON (f/k/a JOYCE ARLENE LESAN),	
13 14	Defendants.	
15	COME NOW Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan, by and through their counsel	
16	of record, Hans P. Juhl of RYAN, SWANSON & CLEVELAND, PLLC and Answer Plaintiff's	
17	Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief as follows:	
18	PARTIES	
19	1. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in	
20	Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.	
21	2. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in	
22	Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.	
23		
-		

- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief. Defendant Mary Winz is a resident of Salt Lake County, UT.
- 4. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 7. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief, except to the extent that it is alleged that Mary Winz is a resident of Washington. Defendants admit diversity of citizenship for the purposes of the Court's subject matter jurisdiction.
- 8. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief, except to the extent that it is alleged that Mary Winz is a resident of Washington. Defendants admit their claims to the PEP and 401(k) Plan arose from activities directed toward Washington, for the purposes of the Court's personal jurisdiction.
- 9. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

22

21

23

1

#### FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Paragraph 10 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

Paragraph 12 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

and Declaratory Relief and therefore deny the same.

2

10. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in

11.

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Paragraph 11 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

12. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in

Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in

- 13. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan are without sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader
- 14. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 15. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 16. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 17. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 18. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 19. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

18

17

20

19

21

22 23

- 20. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan are without sufficient knowledge to admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief and therefore deny the same.
- 21. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 21 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in 22. Paragraph 22 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 23. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan are without sufficient knowledge to 24. admit or deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief and therefore deny the same.
- 25. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief to the extent that it is alleged that Joyce Nelson may have a claim to any funds at issue herein that she has not already received.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in 26. Paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in 27. Paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan deny the allegations set forth in 28. Paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief to the extent that

received.

2 3

# COUNT I:

INTERPLEADER

it assumes that Joyce Nelson has a claim to any funds at issue herein that she has not already

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

29. To the extent that Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan have previously admitted or denied paragraphs 1-28, in response to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief, they are so admitted or denied again.

- 30. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief to the extent that it assumes that Joyce Nelson has a claim to any funds at issue herein that she has not already received.
- Paragraph 31 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief is 31. a request for relief to which no response is required.

## COUNT II: DECLARATORY JUDGMENT

- 32. To the extent that Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan have previously admitted or denied paragraphs 1-31, in response to the allegations set forth in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief, they are so admitted or denied again.
- 33. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan deny the allegations set forth in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief to the extent that it assumes that Joyce Nelson has a claim to any funds at issue herein that she has not already received.

23

- 34. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan admit the allegations set forth in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief.
- 35. Paragraph 35 of Plaintiff's Complaint for Interpleader and Declaratory Relief is a request for relief to which no response is required.

# AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES RELATIVE TO CO DEFENDANT

36. The competing claims made by Defendants' co-defendant, Joyce Nelson are without merit, subject to collateral estoppel, waiver and preempted by federal law.

# REQUESTS FOR RELIEF

- 37. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan request that the Court enter judgment declaring the interplead funds to be distributed pursuant to the beneficiary requests in effect upon the death of Gerald Lesan.
- 38. Defendants Mary Winz and Tracie Lesan request that the Court enter judgment against Joyce Nelson in the amount of the fees and costs incurred herein on the basis of any applicable contract, statute, or ground in law or equity.

DATED this 26th day of July, 2017.

By \_\_

Hans P. Juhl, WSBA #33116

RYAN, SWANSON & CLEVELAND, PLLC

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3400 Seattle, Washington 98101-3034 Telephone: (206) 464-4224

Facsimile: (206) 583-0359

juhl@ryanlaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants Mary Winz

and Tracie Lesan